



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/438,515	11/12/1999	YASUHIRO TABATA	0557-4730-2-	9469

7590

05/08/2002

OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER
& NEUSTADT PC
1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY 4TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

WALLERSON, MARK E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/438,515

Applicant(s)

Tabata

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit

2622



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 18-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 18-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/786,643.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2622

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This application has been examined. Claims 18-33 are pending.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 18-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kageyama et al (hereinafter referred to as Kageyama) (U. S. 5,625,757).

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Kageyama discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (17 and 18) connected to the computer network (10), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper; a server (14, 15, or 16) connected to the network and configured to store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 16, lines 54-67); a

Art Unit: 2622

computer (11, 12, or 13) connected to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 3) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8), and an input device (keyboard) configured to input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8).

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Kageyama discloses the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses (column 29, lines 15-24)..

With regard to claims 20, 21, 28, and 29, Kageyama discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 24).

With respect to claims 22-25 and 30-33, Kageyama discloses storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus (column 34, line 66 to column 35, line 8).

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 18, 20, 21, 26, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugishima (U. S. 5,768,516).

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Sugishima discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (11, 13, and 15) connected to the computer network (16), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper (column 3, lines 12-18); a server (which reads on a system management apparatus) (10) connected to the network and configured to store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 4, lines 9-12); a computer (12) connected to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 1) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 4, lines 9-33), and an input device (keyboard) configured to input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 4, lines 12-17).

With regard to claims 20, 21, 28, and 29, Sugishima discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 22-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2622

- having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
8. Claims 19 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Sugishima in view of Ooki (U. S. 5,991,846).

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Sugishima differs from claims 19 and 27 in that he does not clearly disclose the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses.

Ooki discloses an information processing system wherein information pertaining to the location of printers is stored in a server (102) and displayed to a user (column 7, lines 4-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima wherein the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Ooki in order to allow the user to easily select a preferable printer as discloses by Ooki in column 1, lines 55-58.

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 22-25 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Sugishima in view of Webb (U. S. 5,727,135).

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claims 22-25 and 30-33, Sugishima differs from claims 22-25 and 30-33 in that he does not clearly disclose storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus.

Webb discloses a communication system wherein a remote user is able to view the operation panel of remote printers (the abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to display the operation panel of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Webb in order to easily provide status of the printers to the host.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2622

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)


MARK WALLERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

MARK WALLERSON